arXiv:1412.3384v2 [math.QA] 17 Feb 2016

R-matrix and inverse Shapovalov form

Andrey Mudrov

Department of Mathematics,

University of Leicester,

University Road, LE1 7RH Leicester, UK

Abstract

We construct the inverse Shapovalov form of a simple complex quantum group from its universal R-matrix based on a generalized Nagel-Moshinsky approach to lowering operators. We establish a connection between this algorithm and the ABRR equation for dynamical twist.

Mathematics Subject Classifications: 17B37, 22E47, 81R50.

Key words: Quantum groups, Shapovalov form, Verma modules, R-matrix.

1 Introduction

There are two important bilinear forms related to the triangular decomposition $U_q(\mathfrak{g}) = U_q(\mathfrak{g}_-) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{h}) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{g}_+)$ of the Drinfeld-Jimbo quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. One of them has a classical analog that plays a role in the repsentation theory of simple Lie algebras. This form was introduced by Shapovalov and studied by Jantzen for, respectively, ordinary and parabolic Verma modules, [1, 2]. It is responsible for irreducibility of highest weight modules while its inverse, for generic weights, yields singular vectors in tensor product modules and invariant star product on coadjoint orbits, [3]. This is also applicable to quantized universal enveloping algebras, [4], whose representation theory is in parallel to the classical setting, and the quantum Shapovalov form can be as well defined on quantum Verma modules and their quotients, [2, 5].

At the same time, there is another impotant object that is special to quantum groups and that can be interpreted as a bilinear pairing between the Borel subalgebras $U_q(\mathfrak{b}_\pm) =$

$U_q(\mathfrak{h})U_q(\mathfrak{g}_\pm)$. They are in duality as Hopf algebras, and the inverse form is given by the universal R-matrix $\mathcal{R} \in U_q(\mathfrak{b}_+) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{b}_-)$, [4]. We establish a relation between the two forms constructing the Shapovalov inverse from $\mathcal{R}$. As the latter is explicitly expressed through generators of the quantum group, [6, 7], we result in an explicit formula for the inverse Shapovalov form.

Our approach originates from the Nagel-Moshinsky construction of the lowering/raising operators of the classical non-exceptional Lie algebras, [8, 9]. In combination with the R-matrix, it was applied to the natural representations of orthosymplectic quantum groups in [10], to construct generators of Mickelsson algebras. In this paper we extend those ideas to all Drinfeld-Jimbo quantum groups and all weight modules, V. As a result, we obtain explicit expressions for singular vectors in tensor products $V \otimes M_\lambda$, where M_λ is a Verma module of generic highest weight λ. For V a fundamental representation, that yields lowering operators of Mickelsson algebras. For V the negative Verma module M_λ^* of lowest weight $-\lambda$, the singular vector of zero weight in $M_\lambda^* \otimes M_\lambda$ is the inverse of the invariant Shapovalov form $M_\lambda \otimes M_\lambda^* \to \mathbb{C}$.

We also construct a lift of the Shapovalov inverse to $\hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{b}_+) \otimes \hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{b}_-)$ (the hat means extension over a ring of fractions of $U_q(\mathfrak{h})$) in purely algebraic terms, as a "universal tensor". The key element of the theory is tensor $\mathcal{F} = \frac{1}{q-q^{-1}}(q^{-h\dot{\otimes}h}\mathcal{R} - 1 \otimes 1) \in U_q(\mathfrak{g}_-) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{g}_+)$, where $h\dot{\otimes}h \in \mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathfrak{h}$ is the inverse of the canonical inner form on $\mathfrak{h}^*$. It satisfies a sort of intertwining identity that is equivalent to $\mathcal{R}\Delta(x) = \Delta^{op}(x)\mathcal{R}$, $x \in U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. In the classical limit, $\mathcal{F}$ tends to $\sum_{\alpha\in \mathrm{R}^+} e_\alpha \otimes f_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_+ \otimes \mathfrak{g}_-$ (the inverse of the ad-invariant form restricted to $\mathfrak{g}_- \otimes \mathfrak{g}_+$) as $\hbar = \log q$ goes to 0, so the theory applies to the classical universal enveloping algebras either as a limit case or directly, despite of degeneration of the R-matrix.

Our main result can be described as follows. Choosing an orthogonal basis $\{h_s\} \subset \mathfrak{h}$ we set $h \cdot h = \sum_{s=1}^{\mathrm{rk}\mathfrak{g}} h_s^2 \in U_\hbar(\mathfrak{h})$. Let $h_\rho \in \mathfrak{h}$ be the Weyl vector, satisfying $\alpha(h_\rho) = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha, \alpha)$ for all simple positive roots α, and define $d = h_\rho + \frac{h\cdot h}{2} \in U_\hbar(\mathfrak{h}) \otimes U_\hbar(\mathfrak{h})$. Passing to $\hbar$-adic completion $U_\hbar(\mathfrak{b}_\pm)$, introduce a linear operator D on the zero weight subalgebra in $U_\hbar(\mathfrak{g}_+)\mathfrak{g}_+ \otimes U_\hbar(\mathfrak{b}_-)\mathfrak{g}_-$ via the commutator $D(X) = [1 \otimes d, X]$. The operator $\frac{q^{2D}-\mathrm{id}}{q-q^{-1}}$ preserves the zero weight subalgebra in $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_+)\mathfrak{g}_+ \otimes \hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{b}_-)\mathfrak{g}_-$ and is invertible on it. Here the hat designates extension over a localized Cartan subalgebra. Put $\mathcal{F}^{(0)} = 1 \otimes 1$ and, by induction, $\mathcal{F}^{(k+1)} = (q - q^{-1})(q^{-2D} - \mathrm{id})^{-1}\big(\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}^{(k)}\big) \in U_q(\mathfrak{g}_+) \otimes \hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{b}_-)$ for $k \geqslant 0$. Then the series $\hat{\mathcal{F}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}^{(k)}$ is a lift of the inverse of the Shapovalov form to $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_+)\otimes\hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{b}_-) \subset \hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{b}_+)\otimes\hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{b}_-)$. Remark that the Cartan subalgebra is sitting in the right tensor leg of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$, which is special to the method.

It follows that $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ satisfies a linear equation, which is close to the ABRR equation for dynamical twist, [11, 12]. Thus we explain the ABRR equation from the generalized Nagel-Moshinsky algorithm. In contrast with the solution given in [12] in the form of infinite product, we present it as a series truncate in every finite dimensional representation while the product is still infinite.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 collects the basics on quantum groups and R-matrix. There we define the matrix $\mathcal{F}$. In Section 3, we introduce partial ordering on weight lattices of representations and define a certain map $\mathfrak{h}^* \to \mathfrak{h}+\mathbb{C}$ that plays a role in the sequel. Section 4 is devoted to "localization" of the action of positive Chevalley generators on a Verma module. With this data we construct a matrix $\hat{F}$, which is the image of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ in $\mathrm{End}(V) \otimes \hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{b}_-)$. This part of the paper is a direct generalization of [8]. In Section 5 we construct the universal matrix $\mathcal{F}$ which is specializing to $\hat{F}$ in the representation V. We prove that $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is the inverse Shapovalov form in Section 6. As an intermediate step, we construct singular vectors in the tensor product of V with a Verma module. Finally, we demonstrate the relation of our construction with the ABRR approach.

2 Preliminaries

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple complex Lie algebra with a fixed Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ and triangular decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_+$. Denote by R the root system of $\mathfrak{g}$ with the subsystem of positive roots $\mathrm{R}^+ \subset \mathrm{R}$ and the basis of simple roots $\Pi^+ \subset \mathrm{R}^+$. Let $(\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,)$ designate the canonical inner product on $\mathfrak{h}^*$. We normalize it so that $(\alpha,\alpha) \in \mathbb{N}$ for all $\alpha \in \Pi^+$. Assuming $q \in \mathbb{C}$ is not a root of unity, we write $[z]_q = \frac{q^z - q^{-z}}{q-q^{-1}}$ whenever $q^{\pm z}$ make sense. The q-factorial is defined as $[n]_q! = [1]_q \cdot [2]_q \cdot \ldots \cdot [n]_q$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Put $q_\alpha = q^{\frac{(\alpha,\alpha)}{2}}$. The quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra generated by $e_{\pm\alpha}$ and $q^{\pm h_\alpha}$ subject to

$$q^{h_\alpha} e_{\pm\beta} q^{-h_\alpha} = q^{\pm(\alpha,\beta)} e_{\pm\alpha}, \quad [e_\alpha, e_{-\beta}] = \delta_{\alpha\beta}[h_\alpha]_q, \tag{2.1}$$

$q^{h_\alpha} q^{-h_\alpha} = 1 = q^{-h_\alpha} q^{h_\alpha}$, plus the quantized Serre relations

$$\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{\alpha\beta}} (-1)^k \frac{[n]_{q_\alpha}!}{[k]_{q_\alpha}![n-k]_{q_\alpha}!} e_{\pm\alpha}^{1-a_{\alpha\beta}-k} e_{\pm\beta} e_{\pm\alpha}^k = 0, \quad \forall \alpha,\beta \in \Pi^+,$$

where $a_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{2(\alpha,\beta)}{(\alpha,\alpha)}$ are the entries of the Cartan matrix. The assignment $e_{\pm\alpha} \mapsto e_{\mp\alpha}$, $q^{\pm h_\alpha} \mapsto q^{\pm h_\alpha}$ extends to an antialgebra automorphism σ called Chevalley involution.

Note that the right identity (2.1) does not involve q_α as in the usual definition of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$, see e.q. [13]. It is a result of rescaling of the conventional negative Chevalley generators, $e_{-\beta} \mapsto [\frac{(\beta,\beta)}{2}]_q e_{-\beta}$, which does not affect the homogeneous Serre relations.

We work with the comultiplication defined on the generators by

$$\Delta(e_\alpha) = e_\alpha \otimes q^{h_\alpha} + 1 \otimes e_\alpha, \quad \Delta(e_{-\alpha}) = e_{-\alpha} \otimes 1 + q^{-h_\alpha} \otimes e_{-\alpha},$$
$$\Delta(q^{\pm h_\alpha}) = q^{\pm h_\alpha} \otimes q^{\pm h_\alpha},$$

for all $\alpha \in \Pi^+$. The counit acts by $\epsilon(1) = 1 = \epsilon(q^{\pm h_\alpha})$ and $\epsilon(e_{\pm\alpha}) = 0$. The antipode is determined by $\gamma(q^{\pm h_\alpha}) = q^{\mp h_\alpha}$, $\gamma(e_\alpha) = -e_\alpha q^{-h_\alpha}$, $\gamma(e_{-\alpha}) = -q^{h_\alpha} e_{-\alpha}$.

For all $\beta \in \mathrm{R}$ there are elements $e_\beta \in U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ (higher root vectors), which generate a Poincare-Birghoff-Witt type basis in $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ over $U_q(\mathfrak{h})$, [13]. We denote by $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_\pm)$ the subalgebras in $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by, respectively, $\mathfrak{g}_\pm = \{e_{\pm\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in\mathrm{R}^+}$. Furthermore, the $U_q(\mathfrak{b}_\pm) \subset U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ denote the quantum Borel subgroups generated by $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_\pm)$ and $U_q(\mathfrak{h})$. The algebras $U_q(\mathfrak{b}_\pm)$ are equipped with $\mathbb{Z}$-grading assigning $\deg(e_{\pm\alpha}) = 1$ and $\deg(q^{\pm h_\alpha}) = 0$. Then $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_\pm)$ are graded subalgebras in $U_q(\mathfrak{b}_\pm)$.

We fix the quasitriangular structure on $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ so that the R-matrix belongs to an extended tensor product $U_q(\mathfrak{b}_+) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{b}_-)$. It intertwines the coproduct $\Delta(x)$ and its opposite $\Delta^{op}(x)$,

$$\mathcal{R}\Delta(x) = \Delta^{op}(x)\mathcal{R}, \quad \forall x \in U_q(\mathfrak{g}). \tag{2.2}$$

In particular, $\mathcal{R}(q^{h_\alpha} \otimes q^{h_\alpha}) = (q^{h_\alpha} \otimes q^{h_\alpha})\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}(e_\alpha \otimes q^{h_\alpha} + 1 \otimes e_\alpha) = (q^{h_\alpha} \otimes e_\alpha + e_\alpha \otimes 1)\mathcal{R}$ for all $\alpha \in \Pi^+$. The explicit expression for $\mathcal{R}$ can be found in [13], Theorem 8.3.9. Let $h\dot{\otimes}h = \sum_s h_s \otimes h_s \in \mathfrak{h}\otimes\mathfrak{h}$ denote the inverse of canonical inner product, where the summation is done over an orthogonal basis $\{h_s\}_{s=1}^{\mathrm{rkg}} \subset \mathfrak{h}$. Then

$$\mathcal{R} = q^{h\dot{\otimes}h} \prod_{\beta\in\mathrm{R}^+} \exp_{q_\beta}\{(q - q^{-1})(e_\beta \otimes e_{-\beta})\}, \tag{2.3}$$

where $\exp_q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty q^{\frac{1}{2}k(k-1)} \frac{x^k}{[k]_q!}$. The product is ordered in accordance with the normal ordering in R^+ relative thePBW basis, see [13] for details.

It follows that $q^{-h\dot{\otimes}h}\mathcal{R}$ belongs to the tensor product $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_+) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{g}_-)$ completed in the topology relative to the natural grading (inverse limit). Introduce the tensor $\mathcal{F} = \frac{1}{q-q^{-1}}(q^{-h\dot{\otimes}h}\mathcal{R} - 1 \otimes 1) \in U_q(\mathfrak{g}_+) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{g}_-)$. It plays the central role in our theory due to

$$[1 \otimes e_\alpha, \mathcal{F}] + (e_\alpha \otimes q^{-h_\alpha})\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{F}(e_\alpha \otimes q^{h_\alpha}) = e_\alpha \otimes [h_\alpha]_q, \quad \forall\alpha \in \Pi^+. \tag{2.4}$$

It is an immediate consequence of (2.2).

Remark that $\mathcal{F}$ has the classical limit $\mathcal{F}_0 = \sum_{\beta\in R^+} e_\beta \otimes e_{-\beta}$ as $\hbar = \log q \to 0$. The intertwining relation (2.4) has the obvious limit

$$[1 \otimes e_\alpha, \mathcal{F}_0] + [e_\alpha \otimes 1, \mathcal{F}_0] = e_\alpha \otimes h_\alpha, \quad \forall \alpha \in \Pi^+.$$

This makes our method directly applicable to the classical universal enveloping algebras with $\mathcal{F}_0$ in place of $\mathcal{F}$.

3 Posets associated with representations

In this section we introduce a partial ordering on a basis of a fixed $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module. This data will be used in construction of the inverse Shapovalov form in what follows.

Let Γ denote the root lattice, $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}\Pi^+$, and Γ^+ the semigroup $\mathbb{Z}_+\Pi^+ \subset \Gamma$. Consider a weight module V over $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ with the set of weights $\Lambda(V) \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$. It particular, V is $U_q(\mathfrak{h})$-diagonalizable and its weight spaces are finite dimensional. Fix a weight basis $\{v_i\}_{i\in I} \subset V$ and let $\varepsilon_i \in \Lambda(V)$ be the weight of v_i, $i \in I$.

We introduce a partial ordering on $\Lambda(V)$ by writing $\nu \geqslant \mu$ if $\nu - \mu \in \Gamma_+$. The ordering on $\Lambda(V)$ induces an ordering on I, which we denote by the same symbol: for $i, j \in I$ we write $i > j$ if and only if $\varepsilon_i > \varepsilon_j$. It is convenient to use the language of Hasse diagram $\mathcal{H}$ associated with the poset I: the arrows are marked with simple roots directed towards superior nodes.

The subset of all pairs (i, j) such $\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j = \alpha \in \Gamma^+$ will be denoted by $P(\alpha)$. The pair (i, j) is called simple if $\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j = \alpha \in \Pi^+$. Let π denote the representation homomorphism, $\pi\colon U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathrm{End}(V)$. We can write $\pi(e_\alpha) = \sum_{(l,r)\in P(\alpha)} \pi^\alpha_{lr} e_{lr}$, where π^α_{ij} are the entries of matrix $\pi(e_\alpha)$ in the basis $\{v_i\}_{i\in I}$ and $e_{ij} \in \mathrm{End}(V)$ are the standard matrix units, $e_{ij}v_k = \delta_{jk}v_i$.

For all $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ put

$$\eta_\alpha = h_\alpha + (\alpha, \rho) - \frac{1}{2}||\alpha||^2 \in \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{C}, \tag{3.5}$$

where ρ is the half-sum of all positive roots. Put $\eta_{ij} = \eta_{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j}$ for each pair $i, j \in I$ such that $i > j$.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that $\alpha \in \Pi^+$ and $(l, r) \in P(\alpha)$. Then $\eta_{lr} = h_\alpha$. If $j \in I$ is such that $r > j$, then $\eta_{lj} - \eta_{rj} = h_\alpha + (\alpha, \varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_r)$.*

Proof. It is known that $(\alpha,\rho)=\frac{1}{2}||\alpha||^2$ for all $\alpha\in\Pi^+$, hence the first statement. Further,

$$\eta_{lj}-\eta_{rj}=h_\alpha+\frac{1}{2}||\alpha||^2+\frac{1}{2}||\varepsilon_j-\varepsilon_r||^2-\frac{1}{2}||\varepsilon_j-\varepsilon_r-\alpha||^2=h_\alpha+(\alpha,\varepsilon_j-\varepsilon_r),$$

as required. □

In what follows, we have to extend the Cartan subalgebra to its localization, $\hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{h})$ over the multiplicative system generated by $[\sum_{\alpha\in\Pi^+}m_\alpha h_\alpha+k_\alpha\frac{(\alpha,\alpha)}{2}]_q$ with integer m_α,k_α. We extend all quantum groups containing $U_q(\mathfrak{h})$ accordingly, in the straightforward way, and mark them with hat, e.g. $\hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ *etc.* The apperance of $h_{\varepsilon_i}\in\mathfrak{h}$, $\varepsilon_i\in\Lambda(V)$, formally requires an appropriate extension of $\hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{h})$. However, the resulting formulas depend only on the differences $\varepsilon_i-\varepsilon_j\in\Gamma$, and such an extension will be suppressed.

4 Construction of intertwiner

We call a sequence $\vec{m}=(m_1,\dots,m_k)$ a route from $\min\vec{m}=m_k$ to $\max\vec{m}=m_1$ if $m_1>\dots>m_k$. Let $|\vec{m}|=k$ designate the number of nodes in $\vec{m}$. The set of all routes from j to i will be denoted by $(i\leftarrow j)$. A route is called path if it is maximal, i.e. all pairs (m_s,m_{s+1}) are simple. If $\vec{m}$ is a path, then $|\vec{m}|-1$ is its length, i.e. the number of arrows. It is equal to the number of simple positive roots constituting the weight $\varepsilon_{\max\vec{m}}-\varepsilon_{\min\vec{m}}$. We use the notation $\vec{m}>\vec{n}$ if $\min\vec{m}>\max\vec{n}$, and $\vec{m}\geqslant\vec{n}$ if $\max\vec{m}=\min\vec{n}$ (this relation is transitive on routes). In this case we can construct a route $(\vec{m},\vec{n})\in(\max\vec{m}\leftarrow\min\vec{n})$ by taking the union of nodes in the prescribed order.

Given a route $\vec{m}=(m_1,\dots,m_k)$ we define $f_{\vec{m}}=f_{m_1m_2}f_{m_2m_3}\dots f_{m_{k-1}m_k}$, where $f_{ij}\in U_q(\mathfrak{g}_-)$ are the entries of the matrix $F=(\pi\otimes\mathrm{id})(\mathcal{F})$. Fix an ordered pair (i,j), $i>j$, and consider a right $\hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{h})$-module Φ_{ij} freely generated by all $f_{\vec{m}}$ such that $\vec{m}\in(i\leftarrow j)$.

For each simple pair $(l,r)\in P(\alpha)$ we introduce an operator $\partial_{lr}\colon\Phi_{ij}\to\hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ as follows. Suppose that $(\vec{\ell},l,r,\vec{\rho})\in(i\leftarrow j)$ is a route. Then put

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{lr}f_{(\vec{\ell},l)}f_{lr}f_{(r,\vec{\rho})}&=f_{(\vec{\ell},l)}f_{(r,\vec{\rho})}[\eta_{lj}-\eta_{rj}]_q,\\ \partial_{lr}f_{(\vec{\ell},l)}f_{(l,\vec{\rho})}&=-f_{(\vec{\ell},l)}f_{(r,\vec{\rho})}q^{-\eta_{lj}+\eta_{rj}},\\ \partial_{lr}f_{(\vec{\ell},r)}f_{(r,\vec{\rho})}&=f_{(\vec{\ell},l)}f_{(r,\vec{\rho})}q^{\eta_{lj}-\eta_{rj}}.\end{aligned}$$

If $\{l,r\}\cap\vec{m}=\emptyset$ or $\vec{m}\cup\{l,r\}$ is not a route from $(i\leftarrow j)$, then we set $\partial_{lr}f_{\vec{m}}=0$. Having defined ∂_{lr} on the generators, we extend it to the entire Φ_{ij} by $\hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{h})$-linearity.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $p\colon\Phi_{ij}\to\hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ be the natural homomorphism of right $\hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{h})$-modules. Then $e_\alpha\circ p=\sum_{(l,r)\in P(\alpha)}\pi^\alpha_{lr}\partial_{lr}\mod\hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{g}_+$ for each $\alpha\in\Pi^+$.*

Proof. First of all, observe that both operators commute with the right $U_q(\mathfrak{h})$-action modulo $U_q(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{g}_+$, therefore we can prove the equality on the basis $\{f_{\vec{m}}\} \subset \Phi_{ij}$ replacing the left-hand side with the commutator $f_{\vec{m}} \mapsto [e_\alpha, f_{\vec{m}}]$, on identification of $f_{\vec{m}}$ with its image $p(f_{\vec{m}})$. In the fixed basis of V, the intertwining relation (2.4) translates to

$$[e_\alpha, f_{ij}] \;=\; \begin{cases} \pi^\alpha_{ij}[h_\alpha]_q, & (i,j) \in P(\alpha), \\ \sum_{(l,r)\in P(\alpha)} \big(\delta_{jr} f_{il}\pi^\alpha_{lr} q^{h_\alpha} - q^{-h_\alpha}\delta_{li}\pi^\alpha_{lr} f_{rj}\big), & (i,j) \notin P(\alpha). \end{cases} \tag{4.6}$$

Denote by $B(\alpha)$ the union of all $\{l,r\} \subset I$ such that $(l,r) \in P(\alpha)$. Fix a route $\vec{m} \in (i \leftarrow j)$. If $\vec{m} \cap B(\alpha) = \emptyset$ then $\sum_{(l,r)\in P(\alpha)} \pi^\alpha_{lr}\partial_{lr} f_{\vec{m}} = 0$ by construction. At the same time, $[e_\alpha, f_{km}] = 0$ for all f_{km} entering $f_{\vec{m}}$, hence $e_\alpha f_{\vec{m}} = 0 \mod \hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{g}_+$.

Suppose that $\vec{m} \cap B(\alpha) \neq \emptyset$. The commutator with e_α differentiates the product $f_{\vec{m}}$ making it into a sum of terms where e_α acts on just one factor: $[e_\alpha, f_{\vec{m}}] = \sum f_{(\vec{\ell},a)}[e_\alpha, f_{ab}]f_{(b,\vec{\rho})}$. If $[e_\alpha, f_{ab}] \neq 0$, then either a or b belongs to $B(\alpha)$. There are three possibilities: $(a,b) = (l,r)$ or $(a,b) = (l,b), (a,r)$, where $(l,r) \in P(\alpha)$ and $r > b$ and $a > l$. Then

$$[e_\alpha, f_{lr}] = \pi^\alpha_{lr}[h_\alpha]_q, \quad [e_\alpha, f_{lb}] = -\pi^\alpha_{lr} q^{-h_\alpha} f_{rb}, \quad [e_\alpha, f_{ar}] = \pi^\alpha_{lr} f_{al} q^{h_\alpha},$$

in these three cases. We can assume that $(l,r)\cup\vec{m}$ is a route, since otherwise $r \not> b \Rightarrow f_{rb} = 0$, $a \not> l \Rightarrow f_{al} = 0$, and the last two commutators turn zero along with $\partial_{lr} f_{\vec{m}}$. Then the Cartan factors are pushed to the rightmost position and acquire the shift $h_\alpha \mapsto h_\alpha + (\alpha, \varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_r) = \eta_{lj} - \eta_{rj}$, by Lemma 3.1. Therefore, the corresponding summand $f_{(\vec{\ell},a)}[e_\alpha, f_{ab}]f_{(b,\vec{\rho})}$ is equal to $\pi^\alpha_{lr}\partial_{lr} f_{\vec{m}}$. This proves the equality on the $U_q(\mathfrak{h})$-basis of Φ_{ij}. □

Fix j and introduce $A^j_i \in \hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{h})$ for all $i > j$ by

$$A^j_i = \varphi(-\eta_{ij}), \quad \varphi(x) = \frac{q^{-x}}{[x]_q}.$$

Note that A^j_i depends only on the weight difference $\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j$. For $\vec{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_k)$, $\vec{m} > j$, put $A^j_{\vec{m}} = A^j_{m_1} \dots A^j_{m_k}$. Fix a simple pair (l,r) and suppose that $i \geqslant \vec{\ell} > l > r > \vec{\rho} > j$ for some $\vec{\ell}$ and $\vec{\rho}$. Define an open (l,r)-chain to be the sum

$$f_{(\vec{\ell},r)} f_{(r,\vec{\rho},j)} A^j_{(\vec{\ell},r,\vec{\rho})} + f_{(\vec{\ell},l)} f_{lr} f_{(r,\vec{\rho},j)} A^j_{(\vec{\ell},l,r,\vec{\rho})} + f_{(\vec{\ell},l)} f_{(l,\vec{\rho},j)} A^j_{(\vec{\ell},l,\vec{\rho})} \quad \in \Phi_{ij}, \tag{4.7}$$

assuming $\vec{\ell} \neq \emptyset \neq \vec{\rho}$. Also, we define boundary (l,r)-chains as

$$f_{(\vec{\ell},j)} A^j_{\vec{\ell}} + f_{(\vec{\ell},l)} f_{lj} A^j_{(\vec{\ell},l)}, \quad f_{ir} f_{(r,\vec{\rho},j)} A^j_{(r,\vec{\rho})} + f_{(i,\vec{\rho},j)} A^j_{\vec{\rho}} \quad \in \Phi_{ij},$$

where $\vec{\ell} \neq \emptyset$ and $\vec{\rho} \neq \emptyset$. In particular, the pair (i,j) is assumed non-simple.

Lemma 4.2. *The operator ∂_{lr} annihilates open (l,r)-chains.*

Proof. Applying the local operator ∂_{lr} to (4.7) we get

$$f_{(\vec{\ell},l)}f_{(r,\vec{\rho},j)}\Big(q^{\eta_{lj}-\eta_{rj}}A^j_{(\vec{\ell},r,\vec{\rho})}+[\eta_{lj}-\eta_{rj}]_qA^j_{(\vec{\ell},l,r,\vec{\rho})}-q^{-\eta_{lj}+\eta_{rj}}A^j_{(\vec{\ell},l,\vec{\rho})}\Big).$$

Division by $A^j_{(\vec{\ell},l,r,\vec{\rho}')}$ of the factor in the brackets gives $\frac{q^{\eta_{lj}-\eta_{rj}}}{A^j_l}+[\eta_{lj}-\eta_{rj}]_q-\frac{q^{-\eta_{lj}+\eta_{rj}}}{A^j_r}=0$. Therefore this factor is zero, and the proof is complete. □

Lemma 4.3. *The local operators ∂ act on boundary chains by*

$$f_{ii'}f_{(i',\vec{\rho},j)}A^j_{(i,i',\vec{\rho})}+f_{(i,\vec{\rho},j)}A^j_{(i,\vec{\rho})}\stackrel{\partial_{ii'}}{\longmapsto}-q^{h_{\varepsilon_i}-h_{\varepsilon_{i'}}}f_{(i',\vec{\rho},j)}A^j_{(i',\vec{\rho})},\quad f_{(i,\vec{\ell},j)}A^j_{\vec{\ell}}+f_{(i,\vec{\ell},j')}f_{j'j}A^j_{(i,\vec{\ell},j')}\stackrel{\partial_{j'j}}{\longmapsto}0,$$

where $i>\vec{\ell}>j'$ and $i'>\vec{\rho}>j$.

Proof. Put $\alpha=\varepsilon_{j'}-\varepsilon_j$. Application of $\partial_{j'j}$ to the right chain gives

$$f_{(i,\vec{\ell},j')}A^j_{(i,\vec{\ell})}(q^{h_\alpha}+[h_\alpha]_qA^j_{j'})=f_{(i,\vec{\ell},j')}A^j_{(i,\vec{\ell})}\frac{[h_\alpha-\eta_{j'j}]_q}{[\eta_{j'j}]_q}=0,$$

since $\eta_{j'j}=h_\alpha$, by Lemma 3.1. To prove the left formula, we put $\alpha=\varepsilon_i-\varepsilon_{i'}$. Application of $\partial_{ii'}$ gives

$$f_{(i',\vec{\rho},j)}([\eta_{ij}-\eta_{i'j}]_qA^j_{i'}-q^{-\eta_{ij}+\eta_{i'j}})A^j_{(i,\vec{\rho})}.$$

The expression in the brackets is equal to $-\frac{[\eta_{ij}]_q}{[\eta_{i'j}]_q}=-\frac{A^j_{i'}}{A^j_i}q^{\eta_{ij}-\eta_{i'j}}=-\frac{A^j_{i'}}{A^j_i}q^{h_\alpha+(\alpha,\varepsilon_j-\varepsilon_{i'})}$. Observe that $\frac{A^j_{i'}A^j_{(i,\vec{\rho})}}{A^j_i}=A^j_{(i',\vec{\rho})}$. Now recall that the weight of $f_{(i',\vec{\rho},j)}$ is $\varepsilon_j-\varepsilon_{i'}$ and push the factor $q^{h_\alpha}=q^{h_{\varepsilon_i}-h_{\varepsilon_{i'}}}$ to the leftmost position. This completes the proof. □

Define a matrix $\hat{F}\in\mathrm{End}(V)\otimes\hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{b}_-)$ by

$$\hat{F}=1\otimes1+\sum_{i>j}e_{ij}\otimes\hat{f}_{ij},\quad \hat{f}_{ij}=\sum_{i\geqslant\vec{m}>j}f_{(\vec{m},j)}A^j_{\vec{m}}. \tag{4.8}$$

We can also define $\hat{f}_{ii}=1$ and $\hat{f}_{ij}=0$ if $i\not\geqslant j$ making $\hat{F}=\sum_{i,j\in I}e_{ij}\otimes\hat{f}_{ij}$. Since all weight subspaces are finite dimensional, the number of routes in $(i\leftarrow j)$ is finite and summation is well defined.

Proposition 4.4. *For all $x\in U_q(\mathfrak{g}_+)$, $\Delta(x)\hat{F}=\epsilon(x)\hat{F}\mod\mathrm{End}(V)\otimes\hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{g}_+$.*

Proof. We need to prove $e_\alpha\hat{f}_{ij}=-\sum_{r\in I}\pi(e_\alpha)_{ir}q^{h_\alpha}\hat{f}_{rj}\mod\hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{g}_+$ for all $\alpha\in\Pi^+$ and $i,j\in I$, $i\geqslant j$. First suppose that the pair (i,j) is not simple. Following Proposition 4.1 we reduce the left-hand side to the localization $\sum_{(l,r)\in P(\alpha)}\pi^\alpha_{lr}\partial_{lr}\hat{f}_{ij}=e_\alpha\hat{f}_{ij}\mod\hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{g}_+$.

Evaluating $\partial_{lr}\hat{f}_{ij}$ we retain only those routes $(\vec{m}, j)$ in summation (4.8) which intersect with (l, r) and extend to a path from j to i passing through (l, r). Summation over such routes can be arranged in either a) open three-chains if $i > (l, r) > j$ or b) right/left boundary chains if, respectively, $j = r$ or $i = l$. By Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3, only the last case contributes to $\partial_{lr}\hat{f}_{ij}$, and the contribution is equal to $-q^{h_\alpha}\hat{f}_{rj}$.

If the pair (i, j) is simple and $\alpha = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j$, then $\hat{f}_{ij} = -f_{ij}\frac{q^{\eta_{ij}}}{[\eta_{ij}]_q} = -f_{ij}\frac{q^{h_\alpha}}{[h_\alpha]_q}$. So, by (4.6), $e_\alpha\hat{f}_{ij} = -\pi(e_\alpha)_{ij}q^{h_\alpha}$ modulo $\hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{g}_+$. Finally, the obvious equality $e_\alpha\hat{f}_{ij} = 0 \mod \hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{g}_+$ for $i = j$ completes the proof. □

Remark 4.5. *The matrix $\hat{F}$ can be obviously defined for every weight module V over $U_q(\mathfrak{b}_+)$. Moreover, it depends only on the structure of $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_+)$-module on V. For example, it coincides for all Verma modules of lowest weight, see Section 6.*

5 Universal matrix $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$

In this section, we introduce a "universal matrix" $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ producing $\hat{F}$ in representations. Upon passing to the $\mathbb{C}[\![\hbar]\!]$-algebra $\hat{U}_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$, set $h \cdot h = \sum_{s=1}^{\mathrm{rk}\mathfrak{g}} h_s^2 \in U_\hbar(\mathfrak{h})$, where $\{h_s\}_{s=1}^{\mathrm{rk}\mathfrak{g}}$ is an orthonormal basis in $\mathfrak{h}$. Introduce $d \in U_\hbar(\mathfrak{h})$ by

$$d = \frac{1}{2}h \cdot h + h_\rho. \tag{5.9}$$

The commutator $[d, \cdot\,]$ acts on the subspace of weight $-\mu$ in $\hat{U}_\hbar(\mathfrak{b}_-)$ as multiplication by $-\eta_\mu$ on the right. Therefore, $\frac{q^{2[d,\cdot\,]}-\mathrm{id}}{q-q^{-1}}$ is invertible on $\hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{b}_-)\mathfrak{g}_-$, and its inverse $\varphi([d, \cdot\,])$ acts as right multiplication by $\varphi(-\eta_\mu)$ on each subspace of weight $-\mu < 0$. Then $\varphi(D)$, with $D = \mathrm{id} \otimes [d, \cdot\,]$, is well defined on the subspace of zero weight in $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_+)\mathfrak{g}_+ \otimes \hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{b}_-)\mathfrak{g}_-$. We do not need $\mathbb{C}[\![\hbar]\!]$-extension any longer.

Define tensors $\mathcal{F}^{(k)} \in U_q(\mathfrak{g}_+) \otimes \hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{b}_-)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, as follows. Put $\mathcal{F}^{(0)} = 1 \otimes 1$, and, by induction, $\mathcal{F}^{(k+1)} = \varphi(D)\big(\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}^{(k)}\big)$ for $k \geqslant 0$. Define

$$\hat{\mathcal{F}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}^{(k)} \in U_q(\mathfrak{g}_+) \otimes \hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{b}_-), \tag{5.10}$$

where the tensor product is completed in the topology relative to the natural grading in $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_+) \otimes \hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{b}_-)$ (projective limit).

Proposition 5.1. *For every representation $\pi\colon U_q(\mathfrak{b}_+) \to \mathrm{End}(V)$ on a weight module V, the matrix $(\pi \otimes \mathrm{id})(\hat{\mathcal{F}}) \in \mathrm{End}(V) \otimes \hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{b}_-)$ coincides with $\hat{F}$.*

Proof. Both matrices have their (i,j)-entries equal to 1 if $i=j$ and to 0 if $i<j$ or incomparable. Now suppose that $i>j$ and write

$$e_{ij}\otimes f_{ij}^{(k+1)}=\sum_{m_1\in I}\dots\sum_{m_k\in I}\varphi(D)\big((e_{i,m_1}\otimes f_{i,m_1})\varphi(D)\big(\dots\varphi(D)(e_{m_k,j}\otimes f_{m_k,j})\big)\big),$$

where $f_{ij}^{(k+1)}$ are the entries of the matrix $(\pi\otimes\mathrm{id})(\mathcal{F}^{(k+1)})=\sum_{i,j\in I}e_{ij}\otimes f_{ij}^{(k+1)}$. In the above summation, we retain only the terms with $i>m_1>\ldots>m_k>j$, since one of the f-factors turns zero otherwise. Observe that $\varphi(D)(e_{ij}\otimes X_{ij})=e_{ij}\otimes X_{ij}A_i^j$ for every element $X_{ij}\in\hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{b}_-)$ of weight $\varepsilon_j-\varepsilon_i$. Then one can easily check that each summand is equal to

$$(e_{i,m_1}\otimes f_{i,m_1})\dots(e_{m_k,j}\otimes f_{m_k,j})A^j_{(i,\vec{m})}=e_{ij}\otimes f_{(i,\vec{m},j)}A^j_{(i,\vec{m})}.$$

Varying $\vec{m}=(m_1,\dots,m_k)$ within $i>\vec{m}>j$ including $\vec{m}=\emptyset$ we cover all routes in $(i\leftarrow j)$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}e_{ij}\otimes f_{ij}^{(k)}=e_{ij}\otimes\hat{f}_{ij},$$

as required. □

Corollary 5.2. *The tensor $\hat{F}$ is independent of basis in V. Let V and V' be weight $U_q(\mathfrak{b}_+)$-modules and $\hat{F}\in V\otimes\hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{b}_-)$, $\hat{F}'\in V'\otimes\hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{b}_-)$. Then $(\phi\otimes 1)\hat{F}=\hat{F}'(\phi\otimes 1)$ for every $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_+)$-homomorphism $\phi\colon V\to V'$.*

Proof. Readily follows from the existence of the universal matrix $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$. □

It is also convenient to refine the ordering on I. We write $i\succ j$ if and only if $i>j$ and there is a sequence $i=m_1>\ldots>m_k>j$ such that $f_{m_l,m_{l+1}}\neq 0$ for all $k=1,\dots,k-1$ (it is transitive since $U_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{g}_-)$ has no zero divisors). In particular, there is a sequence of simple roots $\beta_1,\dots,\beta_{k-1}\in\Pi^+$ and a sequence of indices $i=m_1,\dots,m_k=j\in I$ such that $\pi^{\beta_1}_{m_1,m_2}\dots\pi^{\beta_{k-1}}_{m_{k-1},i_k}\neq 0$. The Hasse diagram $(\mathcal{H},\succ)$ is a subdiagram in $(\mathcal{H},>)$. It is generally not full, i. e. not all arrows connecting nodes in $(\mathcal{H},>)$ are in $(\mathcal{H},\succ)$.

Lemma 5.3. *The matrices $(\hat{F},>)$ and $(\hat{F},\succ)$ are equal.*

Proof. Observe that $f_{ij}=\mathrm{Tr}(e_{ji}\mathcal{F}_1)\mathcal{F}_2=0$ for $i>j$ but $i\not\succ j$, where $\mathcal{F}_1\otimes\mathcal{F}_2=\mathcal{F}$ is the Sweedler notation. Therefore, the product $f_{\vec{m}}$ turns zero if $\vec{m}$ is a route with respect to $>$ but not $\succ$. Finally, the coefficients A_i^j depend only on weights, hence the proof. □

Next we show that the matrix associated with a factor-module can be obtained from the the covering module.

Proposition 5.4. *Suppose that V and V' are two weight $U_q(\mathfrak{b}_+)$-modules and $\phi\colon V \to V'$ is a surjective $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_+)$-homomorphism. Choose a basis $\{v_i\}_{i\in I} \in V$ such that $\phi(v_i) = 0$ for $i \in \bar{I}' = I\backslash I'$ and $\phi(v_i) = w'_i$ for $i \in I'$ forms a basis in V'. Then $\hat{f}_{ij} = \hat{f}'_{ij}$ for $i, j \in I'$.*

Proof. Take $\succ$ for the partial ordering in I and I'. We have an embedding $\mathcal{H}' \subset \mathcal{H}$ of the Hasse diagrams. Moreover, $\mathcal{H}'$ is a full subdiagram, since $f_{ij} \neq 0$ for all $i, j \in I'$ simultaneously in $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{H}'$. Furthermore, all $\mathcal{H}$-routes between $i, j \in \mathcal{H}'$ are routes in $\mathcal{H}'$. Indeed, let $\bar{V}' \subset V$ be the kernel of ϕ spanned by w_i, $i \in \bar{I}'$. Let $\vec{m}$ be a route from j to i in $\mathcal{H}$. If a simple pair $(l, r) \in \vec{m}$ is such that $l \in \bar{I}'$ and $r \in I'$, then all nodes $m \succcurlyeq l$ including i belong to $\bar{I}'$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $\vec{m}$ is in $\mathcal{H}'$. □

6 Singular vectors and inverse Shapovalov form

In this section we show that the tensor $\hat{\mathcal{F}} \in U_q(\mathfrak{g}_+)\otimes\hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{b}_-)$ is a lift of the inverse Shapovalov form. Such an indication follows from Proposition 4.4. To complete the task, we need to prove a similar identity for the negative Chevalley generators. Instead, we employ the fact that the inverse Shapovalov form is producing singular vectors in the tensor product of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-modules serving as an intertwiner.

For all weights $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ consider one-dimensional representations $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ of $U_q(\mathfrak{h})$ defined by $q^{h_\alpha} \mapsto q^{(\lambda,\alpha)}$. Extend them to representations of $U_q(\mathfrak{b}_\pm)$ by nil on $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_\pm)$ and define $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-modules

$$M_\lambda = \hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{b}_+)} \mathbb{C}_\lambda, \quad M^*_\lambda = \hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{b}_-)} \mathbb{C}_{-\lambda}.$$

Let $1_\lambda \in M_\lambda$ and $1^*_\lambda \in M^*_\lambda$ denote their canonical generators. The BPW property of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ implies that M^*_λ is free as a $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_+)$-module. A weight basis $\{e_i\}_{i\in I} \subset U_q(\mathfrak{g}_+)$ is parameterized by $I \simeq \mathbb{Z}_+^{\dim \mathfrak{g}_+}$, with $e_0 = 1$. Then $\{e_i 1^*_\lambda\}_{i\in I}$ is a basis in M^*_λ.

Recall that a vector u in a $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module is called singular if $e_\alpha u = \epsilon(e_\alpha)u = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Pi^+$. It is known that, in the case of finite dimensional V and generic λ there is a singular vector $u_j \in V \otimes M_\lambda$ of weight $\lambda + \varepsilon_j$ for all $j \in I$. For the reader's convenience we present the construction via the inverse of a special invariant pairing $M_\lambda \otimes M^*_\lambda \to \mathbb{C}$. We call it Shapovalov form because it is equivalent to the usual contravariant form on M_λ, [2].

The form in question is determined by the requirements $\langle 1_\lambda, 1^*_\lambda\rangle = 1$ and $\langle x1_\lambda, 1^*_\lambda\rangle = \langle 1_\lambda, \gamma(x)1^*_\lambda\rangle$ for all $x \in U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. It is non-degenerate if and only if M_λ and M^*_λ are irreducible. The form is known to be non-degenerate for generic λ. Let $\mathcal{S}^\lambda \in M^*_\lambda \otimes M_\lambda$ be its inverse and write it as $\mathcal{S}^\lambda = \sum_{i\in I} e_i 1^*_\lambda \otimes f_i 1_\lambda$, where $f_i = f_i(\lambda) \in U_q(\mathfrak{g}_-)$. Then $\{f_i 1_\lambda\}_{i\in I}$ forms

the dual basis to $\{e_i 1^*_\lambda\}_{i\in I}$ for those λ where the inverse is defined, and $\mathcal{S}^\lambda$ is independent of the choice of $\{e_i\}_{i\in I}$. It readily follows from the invariance of $\mathcal{S}^\lambda$ that $\sum_{i\in I} e_i v_j \otimes f_i 1_\lambda$ is a singular vector in $V\otimes M_\lambda$.

Proposition 6.1. *Suppose that the modules M_λ, $M_{\lambda+\varepsilon}$, $\forall \varepsilon\in\Lambda(V)$, are irreducible. Then $V\otimes M_\lambda \simeq \oplus_{j\in I} M_{\lambda+\varepsilon_j}$.*

Proof. Let V^* be the right dual to V and let $\{v^j\}_{j\in I}$ be the dual basis to $\{v_j\}_{j\in I}$, $\langle v_j, v^i\rangle = \delta_{ij}$. Observe that v^j has weight $-\varepsilon_j$. Since the Verma modules are irreducible, their Shapovalov forms are non-degenerate. We have two module homomorphisms

$$M_{\lambda+\varepsilon_j} \to V\otimes M_\lambda, \quad V\otimes M_\lambda \to M_{\lambda+\varepsilon_j},$$

$$1_{\lambda+\varepsilon_j} \mapsto \sum_{i\in I} e_i v_j \otimes f_i 1_\lambda, \quad v\otimes 1_\lambda \to \sum_{i\in I} \langle v, e_i v^j\rangle f_i 1_{\lambda+\varepsilon_j}.$$

They amount to the homomorphisms

$$\oplus_{j\in I} M_{\lambda+\varepsilon_j} \to V\otimes M_\lambda \to \oplus_{k\in I} M_{\lambda+\varepsilon_k}.$$

By irreducibility, the through map $M_{\lambda+\varepsilon_j}\to M_{\lambda+\varepsilon_k}$ is zero if $\varepsilon_j\neq\varepsilon_k$. Otherwise it goes as

$$1_{\lambda+\varepsilon_j} \mapsto \sum_{i\in I} e_i v_j\otimes f_i 1_\lambda \to \sum_{i\in I}\sum_{l\in I} \langle e_i v_j, f_i^{(1)} e_l v^k\rangle f_i^{(2)} f_l 1_{\lambda+\varepsilon_k} = \langle v_j, v^k\rangle 1_{\lambda+\varepsilon_k} = \delta_{jk} 1_{\lambda+\varepsilon_k},$$

where $f_i^{(1)}\otimes f_i^{(2)}$ is the Sweedler notation for $\Delta(f_i)$. Indeed, since the weights of $e_i f_i^{(1)}$ and e_l are non-negative, only the terms with $i=0$, $l=0$ contribute to the sum. Therefore the composition map is identical, and the left map is injective. Comparing the dimensions of the weight subspaces one can prove that it is an surjective as well. □

It follows, for generic λ, that the map $v\mapsto \sum_{i\in I} e_i v\otimes f_i 1_\lambda$ is a $U_q(\mathfrak{h})$-linear bijection of V onto the set of singular vectors in $V\otimes M_\lambda$. At the same time, the tensors $\hat{F}(v_j\otimes 1_\lambda) = \sum_{j\in I} v_i\otimes \hat{f}_{ij} 1_\lambda$ are singular vectors of weight $\lambda+\varepsilon_j$, by Proposition 4.4. They are well defined provided the weight λ is not a solution of the equation $q^{2(\lambda+\rho,\alpha)-||\alpha||^2}=1$ for all $\alpha=\varepsilon_i-\varepsilon_j$ such that $i\succ j$. Let $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}^*_{reg}(V)$ denote the set of such weights and $\mathfrak{h}^*_{reg}(V)\supset \hat{\mathfrak{h}}^*_{reg}(V)$ the set of λ admitting analytical continuation of $\hat{F}$. It readily follows from Proposition 5.1 that, under the isomorphism $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_+)\simeq M^*_\mu$, the matrix $\hat{F}\in \mathrm{End}(M^*_\mu)\otimes \hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_-)$ is independent of μ. Moreover, $\hat{F}(v\otimes 1_\lambda) = \sum_{i\in I} e_i v\otimes \hat{f}_{i0} 1_\lambda = \hat{\mathcal{F}}(v\otimes 1_\lambda)\in M^*_\mu\otimes M_\lambda$ for all $v\in M^*_\mu$.

Theorem 6.2. *Suppose that $q^{2(\lambda+\rho,\alpha)-m||\alpha||^2}\neq 1$ for all $\alpha\in \mathrm{R}^+$ and $m\in\mathbb{N}$. Then the tensor $\hat{\mathcal{F}}(1^*_\lambda\otimes 1_\lambda)$ is the inverse of the Shapovalov form $\mathcal{S}^\lambda$.*

Proof. For fixed q, the vectors f_i are rational in $q^{(\lambda,\alpha)}$, $\alpha \in \Pi^+$. Since $\mathcal{S}^\lambda$ is independent of basis $\{e_i\}_{i\in I}$, it is sufficient to prove the statement for $\lambda \in \hat{\mathfrak{h}}^*_{reg}(M^*_\lambda)$ and do analytical continuation to $\mathfrak{h}^*_{reg}(M^*_\lambda)$, where the module M_λ is still irreducible. According to [5], it is the set of λ such that $q^{2(\lambda+\rho,\alpha)-m||\alpha||^2} \neq 1$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $\alpha \in \mathrm{R}^+$.

Given a finite dimensional irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module V with the lowest vector v_0 of weight $-\mu$, there is a unique singular vector $\sum_{i\in I} e_i v_0 \otimes f_i 1_\lambda$ of weight $-\mu+\lambda$ in $V \otimes M_\lambda$, up to a scalar multiplier. On the other hand, it is equal to $\hat{\mathcal{F}}(v_0 \otimes 1_\lambda)$, therefore they coincide up to a scalar. Since this holds for all finite dimensional modules V, we have $\sum_{i\in I} e_i \otimes f_i 1_\lambda \sim \sum_{i\in I} e_i \otimes \hat{f}_{i0} 1_\lambda$, which is obviously an equality, by comparison at $i = 0$. □

The Shapovalov form vanishes on proper submodules in M^*_λ and M_λ and can be projected to their quotients. In general, a module of highest (lowest) weight is irreducible if and only if the Shapovalov form has zero kernel on it. Let $-\lambda$ be the lowest weight of V. Then V is a quotient of a negative Verma module, M^*_λ, by a submodule $\bar{V}$. The annihilator $V^\perp$ of V in M_λ is a submodule. Let W denote the quotient M_λ by $V^\perp$ and $w_0 \in W$, $v_0 \in V$ the images of 1_λ, 1^*_λ, respectively. The Shapovalov form factors through invariant pairing $W \otimes V \to \mathbb{C}$.

Proposition 6.3. *Suppose that $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*_{reg}(V)$. Then $\hat{\mathcal{F}}(v_0 \otimes w_0) \in V \otimes W$ is the Shapovalov inverse, and the modules V, W are irreducible.*

Proof. Irreducibility follows from the first statement, so let us prove it. Apply the homomorphism $M^*_\lambda \to V$ to the left tensor factor of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ and get $\hat{F} \in \mathrm{End}(V) \otimes \hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{b}_-)$. By the hypothesis, $\hat{F}(v_0 \otimes 1)$ is regular when its right factor specialized at λ, so $\hat{F}(v_0 \otimes 1_\lambda) \in V \otimes M_\lambda$ and $\hat{F}(v_0 \otimes w_0) \in V \otimes W$ are well defined. Identify V with a subspace in M^*_λ complementary to $\bar{V}$. By Proposition 5.4, $\hat{F}$ yields a non-degenerate pairing of V with a subspace in M_λ. Therefore V is irreducible along with W. Projection to W sends $\hat{F}(v_0 \otimes 1_\lambda)$ to $\hat{F}(v_0 \otimes w_0)$, the Shapovalov inverse of the non-degenerate form $W \otimes V \to \mathbb{C}$. □

Proposition 6.3 gives a sufficient condition on the highest (lowest) weight for a module to be irreducible.

7 ABRR equation revisited

Formula (5.10) readily implies that $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ satisfies the linear identity

$$\hat{\mathcal{R}}\hat{\mathcal{F}} = q^{2\otimes d}(\hat{\mathcal{F}})q^{-2\otimes d}, \quad \hat{\mathcal{R}} = q^{-h\dot{\otimes}h}\mathcal{R} = 1 \otimes 1 + (q - q^{-1})\mathcal{F}. \tag{7.11}$$

The tensor $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ lies in the kernel of the operator $X \mapsto q^{-2D}(\hat{\mathcal{R}}X) - X$. The latter is continuous in the topology on $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_+)\otimes\hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{b}_-)$ relative to the decreasing filtration induced by the grading. Its diagonal part $q^{-2D} - \mathrm{id}$ is invertible when restricted to the zero weight subalgebra of positive degree. Therefore, $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is a unique solution of (7.11) with the zero degree component $1 \otimes 1$.

There is a unique tensor $\hat{\mathcal{S}} \in U_q(\mathfrak{g}_+)\otimes U_q(\mathfrak{g}_-)\otimes\hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{h})$ such that $(\hat{\mathcal{S}}_1 1^*_\lambda)\otimes(\hat{\mathcal{S}}_2 1_\lambda)\lambda(\hat{\mathcal{S}}_3) = \mathcal{S}^\lambda$. The linear isomorphism $\iota' \colon U_q(\mathfrak{g}_+) \otimes \hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{b}_-) \mapsto U_q(\mathfrak{g}_+) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{g}_-) \otimes \hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{h})$ (an extension of tensor product required) takes $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ to $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$. Denote by $\mathcal{J}$ the image of $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ under the linear isomorphism $\iota'' \colon a \otimes b \otimes h \mapsto a \otimes bh^{(1)} \otimes h^{(2)} \in U_q(\mathfrak{g}_+) \otimes \hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{b}_-) \otimes \hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{h})$. The composition $\iota = \iota'' \circ \iota' \colon U_q(\mathfrak{g}_+) \otimes \hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{b}_-) \mapsto U_q(\mathfrak{g}_+) \otimes \hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{b}_-) \otimes \hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{h})$ is an algebra homomorphism. Therefore $\mathcal{J} = \iota(\hat{\mathcal{F}})$ satisfies the equation

$$\hat{\mathcal{R}}\mathcal{J} = q^{2(1\otimes d\otimes 1+1\otimes h\dot{\otimes}h)}\mathcal{J}q^{-2(1\otimes d\otimes 1+1\otimes h\dot{\otimes}h)}, \tag{7.12}$$

since $\iota(1 \otimes d) = 1 \otimes d \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes h\dot{\otimes}h + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes d$ (the last summand cancels from (7.12)). The tensors $\mathcal{J}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ are expressed through each other by

$$\mathcal{J} = \hat{\mathcal{S}}_1 \otimes \hat{\mathcal{S}}_2\hat{\mathcal{S}}_3^{(1)} \otimes \hat{\mathcal{S}}_3^{(2)}, \quad \hat{\mathcal{S}} = \mathcal{J}_1 \otimes \mathcal{J}_2\gamma(\mathcal{J}_3^{(1)}) \otimes \mathcal{J}_3^{(2)},$$

where the Sweedler notation $\Delta(x) = x^{(1)} \otimes x^{(2)}$ is used for the coproduct. We also have $\hat{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{J}_1 \otimes \mathcal{J}_2\epsilon(\mathcal{J}_3)$, and the homomorphism $\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \epsilon$ is inverse to ι. The tensor $\mathcal{J}$ is known to be a dynamical twist, [11]. The algebra automorphism of $U_q(\mathfrak{h})$ determined by $q^{h_\alpha} \mapsto q^{h_\alpha-(\rho,\alpha)}$, $\alpha \in \Pi^+$, preserves the dynamical twist identity and takes (7.12) to the ABRR equation of [12]. The image of $\mathcal{J}$ under this transformation coincides with the twist of [12] due to its uniqueness.

Remark that the dynamical twist is obtained in [12] in the form of infinite product via Picard iterations. Even in a finite dimensional representation, it involves infinite number of factors, while the series (5.10) turns to a finite sum. The highest degree of $F^{(k)}$ in the truncate series for $\hat{F} \in \mathrm{End}(V) \otimes \hat{U}_q(\mathfrak{b}_-)$ is equal to the length of longest path in $\Lambda(V)$.

Acknowledgements. This research is supported in part by the RFBR grant 15-01-03148. We are grateful to the Max-Plank Institute for Mathematics in Bonn for hospitality and excellent research atmosphere.

References

[1] Shapovalov, N. N.: On a bilinear form on the universal enveloping algebra of a complex semisimple Lie algebra, Funk. Anal. **6**, 65–70 (1972).

[2] J. C. Jantzen: Lectures on quantum groups. Grad. Stud. in Math., **6**. AMS, Providence, RI, 1996.

[3] Alekseev, A., Lachowska, A.: Invariant $*$-product on coadjoint orbits and the Shapovalov pairing. Comment. Math. Helv. **80**, 795–810 (2005).

[4] Drinfeld, V.: Quantum Groups. In Proc. Int. Congress of Mathematicians, Berkeley 1986, Gleason, A. V. (eds), AMS, Providence (1987) 798–820.

[5] de Concini, C., Kac, V. G.: Representations of quantum groups at roots of 1, Operator algebras, unitary representations, enveloping algebras, and invariant theory (Paris, 1989), Progress in Mathematics, **92**, Birkhäuser, 1990, pp 471–506.

[6] Levendorskiy, S. Soibelman, J.: Quantum Weyl group and multiplicative formula for R-matrix of simple Lie algebra, Func. Anal. Appl., **25** (1991), 73–76.

[7] Khoroshkin, S., Tolstoy, V.: The universal R -matrix for quantum untwisted affine Lie algebras, Func. Anal. Appl., **26** (1992) 69–71.

[8] Nagel, J. G., Moshinsky, M.: Operators that lower or raise the irreducible vector spaces of U_{n-1} contained in an irreducible vector space of U_n, J. Math. Phys. **6** (1965), 682–694.

[9] Molev, A. I.: Gelfand-Tsetlin bases for classical Lie algebras, in Handbook of algebra. **4** 109–170, Elsevier/North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2006.

[10] Ashton, T., Mudrov, A.: R-matrix and Mickelsson algebras for orthosymplectic quantum groups, in preparation.

[11] Etingof, P., O. Schiffmann, O.: Lectures on the dynamical YangBaxter equation, Quantum Groups and Lie Theory, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., Durham, 1999, vol. 290, Cambridge Univ. Press (2001).

[12] D. Arnaudon, E. Buffenoir, E. Ragoucy, and P. Roche, Universal solutions of quantum dynamical Yang-Baxter equations, Lett. Math. Phys. 44 (1998), no. 3, 201–214.

[13] Chari, V., Pressley, A.: A guide to quantum groups. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.